GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

TTD – Appeal Petition filed by Sri P. Hari, Record Assistant, Narapura Venkateswaraswamy Temple, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati before the Government u/s 120 (ii) of the Act 30/1987 against the orders of the Sub-Committee on appeals – Dismissed - Orders – Issued.

- -

REVENUE (ENDOWMENTS.III) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 560 **Dated: 18-05-2016**
Read the following:

Ref: 1. Appela petition of Sri Sri P. Hari, Record Assistant, Narapura Venkateswaraswamy Temple, T.T. Devasthanams, Tirupati appeal Petition, dt.29.11.2012.
2. From the Executive Officer, TTD, Tirupati, Lr.Roc.No.B8/ 2058/2013, dt. 19.7.2013.

-:: o0o ::-

O R D E R:

In the reference 1st read above, Sri P. Hari, Record Assistant, Narapura Venkateswaraswamy Temple, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati has filed appeal Petition before the Government u/s 120 (ii) of the Act 30/1987. He has stated that, the Disciplinary Authority framed charge against him alleging that he indulged temporary misappropriation of Rs.1,00,000/-. He submitted a representation on 31.3.2011 to the JEO duly referring his earlier representation dt. 25.2.2011 to whom he has to hand over the PA vouchers but no permission was granted. He requested the concerned AEO and Temple Inspector to take over the PA vouchers from the date of his suspension but they refused. He told that he would arrange to pass the bills in audit, if prepared based on the PA vouchers to the concerned staff in Gandi Temple. He went to Gandi and prayed the Deputy Executive Officer and Assistant Executive Officer on 5.3.2011 to take over the PA vouchers but they did not co-operate.

2. He stated that in the Gandi Temple ANANDANA KAINKARYAM is being conducted every Saturday under the supervision of hierarchy for which the TTD sanctioned a sum of Rs.10,000/- to meet the expenditure which is being adjusted every month under the supervision of hierarchy to whom he has been rendering assistance to them, being the Record Assistant on outsourcing basis. The PA vouchers were kept under his custody as per the instructions of the then Temple Inspector, Sri Ravichandra Reddy. PA vouchers were not signed by the hierarchy every month promptly, not being adjusted every month. Had the PA vouchers were adjusted regularly every month, this case would not happened and he would not have suspended. This is all because of carelessness and negligence by the Hierarchy from top to bottom. The then Deputy Executive Officers worked there for one year were not shown interest to sign the PA vouchers and also not taken any action to adjust the vouchers but simply reported the matter to the higher authorities. Having left over all the higher hierarchy, he was alone kept under suspension which is not proper. The authorities have not followed CCA Rules in conducting regular enquiry. They have not followed rule 20 of APCS (CCA) Rules. They conducted enquiry at their whims and fancies. They have to conduct enquiry under rule 24 of CCA rules. In order to implicate him in this case, they conducted enquiry against to the rules and punished him. The Deputy Executive Officer Sri R. Bhaskar Reddy played foul and fraud and not taken his pleadings into consideration to save his skin. Hence, he requested to consider all the facts into consideration and to set-aside the orders passed by the Board, since he is an innocent and lost money.

...2...

3. The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati has furnished para-wise remarks and relevant records and requested the Government to take necessary action in the matter.

4. The case was called for hearing on 19.01.2016. Both the appellant and the representative of TTD attended and the case was heard.

5. The Government after hearing the arguments and perusing the relevant records, observed that, the appellant remitted the misappropriated amount, after noticing the above issue by the higher authorities. He sold the Laddus during the period from 09.10.2010 to 19.10.2010 and remitted the said amount after a long gap of nearly two months i.e., on 15.12.2010. The disciplinary authority followed rules, in accordance with Law and personal hearing was also given to him by the authorities. In the first instance he was removed from service. However, the Board taking into issues submitted by the applicant, reinstated him to duty afresh with certain conditions. He has not discharged his duties in accordance with procedure stipulated by the department. No new points were raised except reiteration.

6. The Government after careful examination, hereby reject the appeal petition of Sri P. Hari, Record Assistant, Narapura Venkateswaraswamy Temple, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati as there are no good grounds to interfere with the decision of Board.

7. Accordingly, the appeal petition of Sri P. Hari, Record Assistant, Narapura Venkateswaraswamy Temple, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati is hereby dismissed.

8. The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati shall take necessary further action in the matter, accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

J.S.V. PRASAD
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
Sri P. Hari, Record Assistant, Narapura Venkateswaraswamy Temple, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati **(through the Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati)**
The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, Tirupati.

Copy to:
PS to Minister(Endts).
PS to Principal Secretary (Endts.)
S.C.

// FORWARDED :: BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER